Dear Jim and Mark, 3/21/85

Re FBIHQ JFK assassination tickler "Lee Harvey Oswald Vol. XVI Outgoing (Dictation) 6/20/67-8/28/67."

Ah what recollections from our old "Harvard liberal lawyer" Goble's clearly rushed search of what is obscured from almost all the related records, of FBIHQ 161-2848, all 13 sections of it! Taking one section himself, Goble assigned the other 12 sections to 12 of the other supervisors in the Domestic Intelligence Division. Lish Whitson's comment on his section, 12, reflects what they were looking for, "None of these (listed) names mentioned as associate of Walter Wilson Jenkins." Remember Jenkins - LBJ's right-hand man before and at the White House, resigned after he was apprehended in a mens' room and charged with a sexual offense?

If anything is included in Goble's distribution slip, which I doubt, it has to be in the first column, redacted under b6 claim in the middle of a column with no visible blank spaces. So, I believe that other relevant records are in that tickler and are not included. There has to be some reason for Goble's launching this large and relatively time-consuming project, for which nobody lower in rank than a supervisor was trusted. Not the normal search, for example, by clerks or everyday special agents. There ought be some directive from him to the others, too, although he could have hand-carried and conveyed instructions verbally. And there ought be some report from Goble on the results of the search.

The only discernible document number, 136, is on the first of the lists he distributed. The one on his slip is eliminated in xeroxing. His is not dated, although he posted the time, 3:20 p.m.

The list is of names of those, far from all, who were mentioned publicly in connection with the Garrison fiasco. That several are misspelled leads me to believe that Goble was not working from a list provided by the N.O. field office, although it is reasonably certain that the underlying information was from it. Someone at FBIHQ, therefore, and quite likely Goble himself, compiled this list. He had been a Communist/USSR expert, not a Garrison expert.

161 is an applicant-related classification titled "Special Inquiries for White House" and other congressional and agency inquiries.

The date that Goble does not give is reported by some of the supervisors, 5/22/67.

This date is three weeks after I left New Orleans at the end of my first visit there. It also is well before I heard, for the first time, of Garrison's interest in high-level sexual pecadillos he thought might be connected to Clay Shaw. The first I heard of any of that awful stuff could not have been before 11/67 and I believe it was not until 2/68. I do recall it in connection with a trip I took to the west coast 2/68 and I have a means of recalling it: I undetook to break it up and think that despite the incompetence and stupidities of those around Garrison there I did.

"Jim Rose," who has and has used many other names, is a disaster under any of them. He was Bud's pal Bill Turner's pal and fellow-worker. Turner had him working on Garrison's incredible sado-massochist kick. All sorts of wealthy men, mostly Texans, like Clint Murchison, whose name I recall in this connection, and other biggies, including in political life, allegedly had this s-m ring to whose parties they clamly took their wives as covers and their wives as calmly remained separated from the goings-on and silent. In the Garrison theory they used all sorts of drugs, too, whips, the works. Really worse than the foregoing indicates.

I first laid eyes on "Rose" leaning up against the wall near Art Kevin's office in the KHJ studios' news department. What was conspicuous was the cigarette drooling from his lips. It took my attention, as did his pose, and my mind clicked and I recalled a photo (which I still have) of anti-Castro mercenaries after a Florida

arrest. The word was that Rose was formerly CIA, I think in Turner's version a pilot, so in one sense there was no reason to have questions about his anti-Castro involvements. But among the reasons for my suspicions is that the person I took to be him used a name not included among the many to which he admitted. There also were rather odd circumstances surrounding the arrests, including a seemingly mysterious drowning death in shallow water of a skin diver.

Aside: I asked Steve Jaffe, the same dope who is currently the successful Hollywood flack whose clients have included Hugh Hefner, then a photography major at UCLA, to sneak a picture of Rose for me to use to compare with the arrest picture. To do what I asked would have been no chore at all for Jaffe but, knowing that I was suspicious, what did that demon investigator do? Go to Rose with it!

Apparently Turner had told Rose that this gentleman's club of Garrison's dreams was to have a bash at some swank Hollywood home that very night. Rose turned up the next day with two plastic hypos, both allegedly used, different colors, one I think yellow. His story is that he practised garbology, that all those fancypants wealthy men were so careless, so without any security concerns, that they threw their hypos in the garbage whence Rose retrieved them in the wee hours. I didn't believe any part of it. Anyway, I started punching holes in Rose's various stories about himself and this evil Garrison dreamed up or had had fed to him.

Also, I was able to check out one of the nonwealthy public figures, a married man who had had a woman I knew as a lover. She assured me he was anything but s-m, a very gentle man. He today is an old man and in his field well known.

Pehaps Bud has records from which it can be learned whether Garrison was off on some kind of Walter Jenkins-Clay Shaw connection as early as before 5/22/67. I have no recollection of having heard of it or anything like it that early and I have no recollection of its being used publicly. Because If there was no public use, then the FBI's source was not a public source. It has to be an informer or more than one, an informer who knew some of the walking and blabbing stupidities with whom Garrison was associated or an informer inside his office/operation.

Plesae note also that there is this list of persons the FBI knew were involved in the Garrison investigation and thus relevant to my request in C.A. 78-0420, yet no search was made and nothing produced relating to them. More "new evidence" and more proof of misrepresentation to the courts.

Best,
Jim